APPENDIX VA FACULTY TEACHING EVALUATION FORM

NAME OF FACULTY MEMBER E	EVALUATED:		
SCHOOL/DEPT.:		DATE:	
TYPE OF EVALUATION: VPAA:	DEAN: ASSOC. I	DEAN/CHAIR: COLLEAGU	E:
NAME OF EVALUATOR:	SCH	HOOL/DEPT.:	
TIME OF DAY:			
Time	Course	Type of Class	Size
1. EVALUATION OF TEACHIN	G ABILITY - CLASSROO	OM SETTING	
SCALE: (5) Outstanding; (4) Very Go (0) Unsatisfactory; (N/A) Unable to ap		; (1) Satisfactory with reservations;	
indicate your rating of each character less important than your general important than your general important to make (lengthy comments showherever possible and indicate the sanswer only those questions for white judgment. I. KNOWLEDGE OF SUBJECT.	pression. Write in after the quould be made on the reverse source of information on which you are certain you have a	luestion any additional comments y side of the sheet). Give examples ch your selection is based. Please	
The Instructor:			
1. Demonstrated breadth and dept	h of information	_	
2. Demonstrated awareness of cur	rent trends	_	
3. Was able to establish cognitive	relationships		
4. Utilized adequate examples for	clarity	_	
In summary, I would rate the instr	ructor's overall knowledge	e of the subject matter	
Supporting Comments			

II. PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL

The Instructor:				
1. Presents an overview of material to be covered in the session				
2. Consistently develops/presents material consistent with objectives states				
3. Presents material in an organized manner				
4. Is well prepared for the session				
5. Provides clear explanations				
6. Makes subject matter more meaningful through the use of examples and applications				
7. Utilized teaching aids				
8. Used a variety of teaching methods				
In summary, I would rate the instructor's overall presentation of the material Supporting Comments				
III. THE LEARNING SITUATION				
1. Climate				
2. Participation				
3. Class interaction				
The Instructor:				
1. Is receptive to participant's questions and comments				
2. Seemed to know when students didn't grasp material				
3. Is receptive to divergent viewpoints				
4. Stimulates class discussion				
5. Answered questions effectively				
6. Is flexible (can meet situation with suitable approach)				
7. Shows fair and equal concern for all students in class				

a	, •	
Nun	norting	Comments
Sup	porting	Comments

IV. CLASSROOM DYNAMIC AND STYLE

In your role as evaluator you are visiting the course to look at instructor delivery of information and opportunities for interaction between instructor and students, as well as, peer interaction between students. Evaluators do not assess student work, grading rubrics, or use of assessments.

Face-to-Face Environment

The Instructor:
1. Engagement: Encourages student involvement in the class.
2. Interest: Demonstrates enthusiasm for the content (for example, in the delivery of instruction and responses to question).
3. Communications: Instructor's style stimulated learning (such as encouraging student-faculty interaction and peer interaction in class).
Online Environment
The Instructor:
1. Engagement: Encourages student involvement in class.
2. Interest: Demonstrates enthusiasm for the content (for example, in the delivery of instruction using multimedia components and responses to questions).
3. Communications: Instructor's style of material presentation and organization stimulated learning (such as an easy to navigate, organized Learning Management System for the course with clear instructions).
Supporting Comments

V. EVALUATION OF TEACHING ABILITY – LABORATORY SETTING

The Instructor:				
1. Discusses instructions for laboratory session before each experiment				
2. Provides sufficient opportunity for students to ask for an obtain individual assistance				
3. Provides sufficient opportunity for student questions				
4. Demonstrates or discusses lab techniques students will use in the laboratory exercise				
5. Gives students a chance to show their technique/knowledge about the laboratory exercise				
In summary, I would rate the instructor's teaching style in the laboratory setting Supporting Comments				
VI. EVALUATION OF TEACHING ABILITY IN THE CLINICAL SETTING				
The Instructor:				
1. Participates in orientation to the area				
2. Assumes responsibility for teaching on clinical unit				
3. Supervises student activities				
4. Is knowledgeable about principles applicable to subject matter				
5. Seeks documentation from staff of students' clinical performance				
6. Has good teacher-student relationship				
7. Stimulates students to function at optimum level and toward independent functioning				
8. Conducts clinical conferences to enhance transfer of learning				
9. Shares theoretical knowledge with staff				
10. Is available to students and offers advice wisely				
11. Responds constructively to students' ideas and experience				
12. Communicated goals for students with clinical staff				
13 Promotes attitude of mutual respect between staff and teacher, student-teacher, staff-student				

14. Has good rapport with staff		
In summary, I would rate the instructor's t	eaching ability in the clinical setting	
Supporting Comments		
Evaluator Suggestions		
Evaluator Commendations		
/	Signature of Evaluator/Da	ate
Comments by Faculty Member		
	Signature of Faculty Member/De	ate